(*a*) whether the Government are contemplating the establishment of a charging Police station at Anthikad in place of the existing outpost;

(*b*) if the answer to (*a*) is in the affirmative, the reason why?

Khan Sahib Muhammad Habibuddin Siddhiki Sahib Bahadur *(Commissioner of Police):*

(*a*) The Police out-post at Anthikad has already been converted into a charging station for a period of 6 months form 13th April 1944 (1st Medam 1119) as a temporary measure.

(*b*) To secure better and more effective control over the jurisdiction.

OFFICIATING DIVISION INSPECTOR, TRICHUR—PRESENT INCUMBENT

124. Mr. K. Narayana Menon *(Mulakunnathukavu):* Will Government be pleased to state.—

whether the present incumbent of the Division Inspector's Office in the Trichur Division has been there from the year 1116 M. E.?

Khan Sahib Muhammad Habibuddin Siddhiki Sahib Bahadur *(Commissioner of Police):*

No. He has been in charge of the Trichur Division from 19—12—1117/4—8—1942 only. He had also officiated as Assistant Superintendent of Police, Trichur from 14—7—1112/25—2—1937 to 27—4—1113/12—12—1937 and 13—4—1940/1—9—1115 to 29—5—1940/16—10—1115 on previous occasions.

Mr. P. NARAYANAN NAYAR—BELABOURING OF—STATEMENT IN THE "MATHRUBHOOMI"

125. Mr. K. Narayana Menon *(Mulakunnathukavu):* Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether they have noticed a statement by Mr. P. Narayanan Nayar, published in the "Mathrubhoomi" daily, to the effect that he was beaten and belaboured by the Police in the Trichur Town and Cusba Stations;

(*b*) if answer to (*a*) is in the affirmative, will they be pleased to state whether any action of any kind has been taken on the statement;

(*c*) if the answer to (*b*) is in the negative, will they be pleased to take notice and make an enquiry into the same?

Khan Sahib Muhammad Habibuddin Siddhiki Sahib Bahadur *(Commissioner of Police):*

(*a*) Yes, but there is no allegation that he was belaboured in the Trichur Town station.

(*b*) Yes, the allegation was duly and promptly enquired into.

(*c*) Does not arise.

Mr. K. Narayana Menon *(Mulakunnathukavu):* May I know what the allegation was?

Khan Sahib Muhammad Habibuddin Siddhiki Sahib Bahadur *(Commissioner of Police):* I am not quite sure what the allegations are.

Mr. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* Was not the allegation, Sir, that he was belaboured in the Cusba Station at Trichur?

Khan Sahib Muhammad Habibuddin Siddhiki Sahib Bahadur *(Commissioner of Police):* Yes. There was that allegation.

Voting of Demands for Grants—*(cont.)*

CUT MOTION NO. 12

Exemption for certain teachers from training *(cont.)*

Mr. C. V. Iyyu *(Kunnamkulam):* The policy of the Government of taking in untrained teachers till now has been incorrect. I have also to state that hereafter at least the Government may do well to adopt the principle of giving preference to trained teachers in the matter of recruitment to the teaching service. If such a policy is adopted this problem can to a very great extent be solved and if the Government insist that even those who are 35 years of age or those who have 12 years of service ought to be trained, the Government must provide Training Institutions, even an L. T. College, here in the State itself. The cut motion is tabled with the intention of giving exemption to such teachers. I agree with the Hon'ble Member for Vadakkancheri

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

that that position may be considered by the Government and sympathetically viewed. I am glad that the Hon'ble Member for Trichur-North insisted that proper training and proper qualification ought to be given to those who are selected to the Government services. Day before yesterday he said that, in the matter of the Ayurveda Department, Government Rule that there should be certain qualifications fixed for certain appointments, should be relaxed in certain cases. Any way, there is a change of mind by yesterday. I do not wish to tax the patience of the House any longer.

Mr. P. P. Devassy *(Trichur-South):* Sir, two points have been mainly urged against the cut motion by those who opposed it, one that for efficiency and effective teaching it is necessary that a teacher should undergo training and it will be a great injustice to the future generation if the children are entrusted for the purpose of teaching to the hands that are not duly trained. There is no dispute that effective and efficient teaching will easily be achieved provided it is imparted by Teachers who have been duly trained. But we are not here to controvert that position. Some how or other and for no fault of theirs some teachers who have already put in ten to 12 years of service and who are above 35 had not the occasion to get the training that has been prescribed by the Department and if for no fault of theirs by no negligence, by no refusal on their part, they have been denied this training, should they be compelled to shell out their own money and spend some time in receiving training is the only question.

On taking all equities into consideration this House, I believe, will unanimously agree that justice and fair play demand that leniency should be shown to them and all consideration should be shown to these men who have been unfortunate enough not to receive training at the cost of the Government. It has been further argued by the Hon'ble Member for Trichur-North that even after an experience of twelve years, there are teachers incapable of teaching and quite useless and at least it is better to take them away for one year from the school and thus give the chance for the students to improve by their absence. It is really an ungenerous position taken up by the Hon'ble Member. If individual cases are taken, I do not think that it will be difficult to find a few who are useless for purposes of teaching although they have undergone training, but to give a general sweeping allegation from such stray individual cases in considering a question of this kind will be the unsafest thing and the unkindest process also. I would therefore most earnestly commend this cut motion to the acceptance of this House and taking into consideration all the equities that arise from the fact these unfortunate men have been most unfortunate in not receiving the opportunity and the chances which are theirs in law and by right, they deserve this exemption.

Mr. P. Sankaran Nambiar *(Director of Public Instruction):* Sir, we have had the opportunity of listening to the various shades of opinion expressed in the House in regard *even* to the necessity for training on the part of teachers. I may just point out that the Central Advisory Board of Education have clearly and definitely adopted the principle that all teachers must be trained. This is now universally endorsed by educational experts all the world over. Reports of Expert Committees on Post-War education which we are receiving both from other parts of India and from abroad, without any exception, insist on the essential value of training to the teaching profession. It would, therefore, be a retrograde step to abet the continuance of untrained teachers in the Department.

Unfortunately in the State we have a number of teachers today over 35 years old who are yet to be trained. They ought to have got themselves trained earlier. They had ample opportunities for doing so.

Mr. P. P. Devassy *(Adur):* Question.

Mr. P. Sankaran Nambiar *(Director of Public Instruction):* I will explain it. The Government Training Institution at Trichur could take in about 75 private candidates each year, in addition to the 50 selected by the Department as stipendiaries and at least 75 of these teachers every year could have undergone training in the Government Training Institution at Trichur privately, though not as stipendiaries. Thus they could all have easily undergone the training course. No tuition fee is levied from them in the Training Institute because training for all teachers is free. Yet they never came forward to take advantage of the opportunity that presented itself to them year after year. They evaded it, and remained contented with the chances restricted to untrained teachers. Knowing fully the restrictions imposed by the Code Rules, on the scope for promotion so long as they remained untrained, they preferred to continue as untrained teachers.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. K. Ayyappan *(Ezhuvas-Kanayannur):* Why ?

Mr. P. Sankaran Nambiar *(Director of Public Instruction):* They evaded the training because they probably did not like to undergo the course.

Mr. K. Ayyappan *(Ezhuvas-Kanayannur):* Did they find it impossible on account of their pecuniary condition ?

Mr. C. V. Iyyu *(Kunnamkulam):* Will they be fed by Government ?

Mr. P. Sankaran Nambiar *(Director of Public Instruction):* They have to spend for their feeding, but they will be taught free.

Now if they are all to be exempted from training, the effect would be to lower standards and remove all incentive to get trained. After all, it is not too late to get trained even after 35.

So long as expert opinion is decidedly in favour of insisting upon training as an essential qualification for teachers and in a purely academic matter like this, expert opinion must be allowed to prevail over the personal opinions of laymen, which are themselves mutually conflicting our motto should be "better late than never".

This is the latest and most authoritative report that we have got on the question of teaching, popularly known as the "Sergeant Report". "The Committee have no hesitation in expressing the opinion that every teacher employed in any kind of school maintained or aided out of public funds or recognised by Government must be trained. Before very long they hope it will be possible to extend this requirement to every school which is allowed to exist at all. They believe that there is a technique or art of teaching which can be learned and that if this is so, before any man or woman is allowed to operate on human material, he or she must be required to acquire the necessary mastering of this technique. In laying down this general principle the Committee realise that it may not be practicable to apply it in the case of all existing teachers...."

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* Hear, hear.

Mr. P. Sankaran Nambiar *(Director of Instruction):* Though they urge that so far as training facilities permit, opportunities permit, opportunities should be afforded to all existing teachers, who are not trained, to become trained.

But never have any of these committees or any of these educational experts said a word about granting exemptions to teachers in any circumstance whatsoever.

There is also financial aspect to this subject. The moment all these teachers over 35 are exempted from training, they become eligible for half salary grants, if they are in aided service, and for Headmasterships of fully developed Primary and Lower Secondary Schools. It has been calculated that such exemption will result in additional expenditure to the tune of not less than Rs. 15,000 It is worth considering whether this extra cost should be met by the Department, without any corresponding advantage in efficiency, or rather at the obvious sacrifice of efficiency, following the substitution of untrained teachers for trained teachers.

The unconditional and permanent exemption of untrained teachers, with a view to allowing them all the advantages enjoyed by trained teachers, must, therefore, be necessarily opposed.

Mr. T. P. Poulose *(Kanayannur):* On a point of information, Sir, are we to understand that the training institution could have taught more teachers than were actually taught.

Mr. P. Sankaran Nambiar *(Director of Public Instruction):* Certainly, there were ever so many seats lying vacant.

Mr. K. S. Paniker *(Ezhuvas-Mukundapuram):* On a point of information, Sir, എഡ്യുക്കേഷൻ കോഡ് റിവിഷൻ കമ്മിറ്റിമുമ്പാകെ ഈ സംഗതി റിപ്പോർട്ട് ചെയ്യുവാൻ വിരോധമുണ്ടോ?

Mr. P. Sankaran Nambiar *(Director of Public Instruction):* Yes, it is one of the items in the Draft Code, and therefore must receive the attention of the Code Revision Committee.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. എസ്സ്. പണിക്കർ: മിസ്റ്റർ പ്രസിഡണ്ട് സർ, എന്റെ ഈ ഉപക്ഷേപം ഇവിടെ അവതരിപ്പിച്ചപ്പോൾ അനദ്ധ്യോഗസ്ഥസാമാജികന്മാരിൽ നിന്ന് ഇങ്ങിനെ ഒരു എതിർപ്പ് ഉണ്ടാകുമെന്ന് ഞാൻ പ്രതീക്ഷിച്ചിരുന്നില്ല. ടെയിനിംഗ് കഴിയാത്ത അദ്ധ്യാപകന്മാരെ കൊണ്ട് കുട്ടികളെപഠിപ്പിക്കുകയെന്നുള്ളതു് അവരുടെ ജീവിതം തന്നെ പാഴാക്കുകയാണ് ചെയ്യുന്നതെന്ന് എന്റെ ഒരു സ്നേഹിതൻ ഇവിടെ പറഞ്ഞതിൽ ഞാൻ അത്ഭുതപ്പെട്ട

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

ന്നു. അങ്ങിനെയാണെങ്കിൽ കോളേജുകളിലും മറ്റും എൽ. ടി. പാസ്സാകാത്ത അദ്ധ്യാപക ന്മാരെകൊണ്ടു് പഠിപ്പിക്കുന്നതു് കുട്ടികളുടെ ജീവിതം പാഴാക്കുവാനായിരിക്കുമോയെന്നു് സംശയിക്കേണ്ടിയിരിക്കുന്നു. ഒരദ്ധ്യാപകനായി ഫ്രഞ്ചു കൊല്ലത്തോളമുള്ള പരിചയമുള്ള ഒരാളെ ടെയിനിംഗ്ു് കഴിക്കണമെന്നുള്ള നിബന്ധനയിൽ നിന്നു് ഒഴിവാക്കണമെന്നുള്ളതാണു് ഞാൻ ഇവിടെ ആവശ്യപ്പെടുന്നതു്. എന്റെ സ്നേഹിതൻ തൃശ്ശിവപേരൂർ വടക്കെ നിയോജക മണ്ഡലത്തിലെ മെമ്പർ മിസ്റ്റർ കൃഷ്ണമേനോൻ ആയുർവ്വേദ ആസ്പത്രിയിൽ നിയമിച്ചു ഒരാൾക്കു് ആയുർവ്വേദസംബന്ധമായ യാതൊരു പരീക്ഷായോഗ്യതയില്ലാതിരുന്നിട്ടും ആ നിയമനത്തെ അനുകൂലിച്ചു സംസാരിക്കുകയും ഫ്രഞ്ചു കൊല്ലത്തോളം അദ്ധ്യാപകനായിരുന്ന ഒരാൾക്കു് ടെയിനിംഗ്ു് കഴിക്കാതെയിരിക്കുന്നതു് അബദ്ധവുമാണെന്നു് ഇപ്പോൾ അദ്ദേഹം പറയുന്നതിൽ എന്തൊരു ഔചിത്യമാണുള്ളതെന്നു് ഞാൻ ചോദിക്കുന്നു. പരീക്ഷായോഗ്യതയില്ലാത്തവരെ ടെയിനിംഗിൽ നിന്നു് ഒഴിവാക്കണമെന്നു് ഞാൻ ആവശ്യപ്പെടുന്നില്ല.

Mr. President : I must ask the Hon'ble Member to bring his remarks to a close, as the time for discussion of the grant is almost up.

Mr. K. S. Paniker *(Ezhuvas-Mukundapuram):* I would require only 2 minutes more, Sir.

Mr. President : The Hon'ble Member may take one minute.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. എസ്സ്. പണിക്കർ: യാതൊരു പരീക്ഷായോഗ്യതയുമില്ലാത്ത ആളെ നിയമിച്ചതിനെ സംബന്ധിച്ചു് ഇവിടെ ഉദ്ധരിക്കേണ്ടിവന്നതിൽ ഞാൻ വ്യസനിക്കുന്നു ഞാൻ ആവശ്യപ്പെടുന്നതു് ഫ്രഞ്ചു കൊല്ലത്തെ എക്സ്പീരിയൻസുള്ള ആളെ ഈ നിയമത്തിൽനിന്നു് ഒഴിവാക്കണമെന്നുമാത്രമെ പറയുന്നുള്ളു. വളരെക്കുറുക്കം ആളുകൾക്കു് മാത്രമെ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെണ്ടലായുള്ള ടെയിനിംഗിനു സൌകര്യം കിട്ടുന്നുള്ളു. ഒന്നുകിൽ ഇവർക്കു ടെയിനിംഗ്ു് കഴിക്കുവാനുള്ള സൌകര്യം ഉണ്ടാക്കികൊടുക്കുക; അതല്ലാത്തപക്ഷം നല്ല വയസ്സു കഴിഞ്ഞവരെ ഇതിൽ നിന്നു് എക്സംപറ്റ് ചെയ്യണമെന്നാണു് എനിക്കു് പറയുവാനുള്ളതു്. ആയതുകൊണ്ടു് ഗവമ്മെണ്ടു ദയവായി കോഡ് റിവിഷൻ കമ്മിറ്റിയിൽ ആലോചിക്കാമെന്നുള്ള അഭിപ്രായം വിദ്യാഭ്യാസ മേലദ്ധ്യക്ഷനിൽനിന്നു് കിട്ടിയതുകൊണ്ടു് ഈ സഭയുടെ അനുമതിയോടുകൂടി ഞാൻ ഈ ഖണ്ഡനോപക്ഷേപത്തെ പിൻവലിച്ചുകൊള്ളുന്നു,

Cut motion, by leave, withdrawn

Mr. President : The question is whether the Government be granted the sum of Rs. 31,59,315—1 under 20 Education.

Demand under 20 Education for Rs. 31,59,315 put and carried

15. EDUCATION—CRANGANUR—Rs. 96,342

Mr. P. Sankaran Nambiar *(Director of Public Instruction):* Sir, I move for an allotment of Rs. 96,342, under 15 Education—Cranganur.

Demand put and carried

29. PUBLIC WORKS—Rs. 20,13,501

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon *(Chief Engineer):* Sir, I rise to move for an allotment of Rs. 20,13,501 under 29—Public Works—for the year 1120.

The main characteristic of this Budget is its large provisions for works of irrigation.

The decision on the preliminary questions connected with the Chalakkudi Irrigation Scheme which are of an interstatal nature will, it is hopped, be arrived at shortly and I hope that we will be enabled to start actual construction works at an early date. The fulfilment of this scheme will be a great advancement in the matter of irrigation for the Viruppu and Mundakan lands in the Mukundapuram Taluk.

The final investigation of the Naduthodu Scheme has been completed this year. The proposal consists of constructing an Earthen Dam of 70 feet in height impounding about 600 millions cubic feet of water. This will be sufficient for the conversion of about 4000 acres of parambas into double crop wet lands. The final estimates for this work are nearing completion and will be ready for submission early in 1120.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly